Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| 3H.01 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 3H.01 as presented in the NPA. |
| 3H.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 3H.02 as presented in the NPA, but recommends removing the Support statements because they are not necessarily correct and offer no support to the practitioner for using colored pavement. |
| 3H.03 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 3H.03 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revisions as follows:   * Remove the text in the Guidance statement declaring that the right-of way is dedicated exclusively to highway-related functions, as this is not true in all cases * Remove the text in the Standard statement prohibiting pictographs, symbols, and multiple color arrangements in crosswalks, as there may be situations where including these may be appropriate, such as for municipal identification * Revise the final two Standard paragraphs to Guidance, as there may be reasons for exceptions |
| Figure 3H-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 3H-1 as presented in the NPA. |
| 3H.04 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 3H.04 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 3H-2 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 3H-2 as presented in the NPA. |
| 3H.05 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 3H.05 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 3H-3 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 3H-3 as presented in the NPA. |
| 3H.06 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 3H.06 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising as follows:   * Add a list item H to the Standard list to add Shared Lane Markings to the treatments eligible for green pavement in accordance with NCUTCD recommendation 16B-BIK-01 and docket comments on Section 9E.03 * Remove the restriction for using green-colored pavement for shared-use paths, crosswalks, and independent alignment bicycle lanes, as green pavement can be useful on these facilities to increase conspicuity and provide color continuity, and has been used by a number of agencies on these facilities for that purpose * Remove the Standard text requiring green-colored pavement to match the dotted line pattern in extensions, as it may be appropriate to use a continuous color pattern, and continuous patterns have been used by a number of agencies |
| Figure 3H-4 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 3H-4 as presented in the NPA. |
| 3H.07 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 3H.07 as presented in the NPA, but recommends changing the Standard statement restricting red-colored pavement to areas where general-purpose traffic is not expected to Guidance, as there may be reasons for exceptions. |
| Figure 3H-5 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 3H-5 as presented in the NPA. |
| 3H.08 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 3H.08 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 3H-6 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 3H-6 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |